

Exterior powers of reflection representations

胡泓昇 / Hongsheng Hu

Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research,
Peking University
(postdoc)

The 16th National Conference on Algebra
Huaqiao University, Quanzhou, China
Nov. 15–20, 2023

Outline

- 1 Steinberg's theorem on reflection representations.
- 2 Our generalization.
- 3 Classification of reflection representations of Coxeter groups.
- 4 Apply the generalized Steinberg's theorem to Coxeter groups.

Outline

- 1 Steinberg's theorem on reflection representations.
- 2 Our generalization.
- 3 Classification of reflection representations of Coxeter groups.
- 4 Apply the generalized Steinberg's theorem to Coxeter groups.

Settings in Steinberg's theorem:

- V : an n -dim'l vector space with **inner product** $(-|-)$, e.g., a Euclidean space or a complex Hilbert space.
- $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$: a basis of V (not necessarily orthonormal).
- W : the subgroup of $GL(V)$ generated by orthogonal reflections s_i w.r.t. v_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$.

V is a W -module by the natural action.

The exterior power $\bigwedge^d V$, $d = 0, 1, \dots, n$, admits a W -action

$$w(u_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge u_d) = (wu_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge (wu_d).$$

In particular, $\bigwedge^0 V$ is the 1-dim'l representation with trivial W -action, and $\bigwedge^n V$ is the 1-dim'l representation \det .

Steinberg's theorem

Under these settings, we have:

Theorem (R. Steinberg, 1968)

Suppose V is a simple W -module. Then the W -modules

$$\bigwedge^d V, \quad d = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

are simple and pairwise non-isomorphic.

- The proof relies on the existence of the inner product which stays invariant under the W -action, and do induction on n .
(Here $n = \dim V = \text{card} \{\text{defining generators of } W\}$.)
- A special case: (W, S) be an irreducible **finite** Coxeter group, and $V = V_{geom}$ be its geometric representation.
- The theorem of Steinberg can be generalized to the cases where the inner product does not exist.

Outline

- 1 Steinberg's theorem on reflection representations.
- 2 Our generalization.
- 3 Classification of reflection representations of Coxeter groups.
- 4 Apply the generalized Steinberg's theorem to Coxeter groups.

Definitions:

- A linear map s on an n -dim'l vector space V is called a *reflection* if:
 - (1) \exists a linear hyperplane H_s s.t. $s|_{H_s} = \text{Id}_{H_s}$,
 - (2) $\exists \alpha_s \in V \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $s(\alpha_s) = \lambda_s \alpha_s$ for some $\lambda_s \neq 1$.
 α_s is called a *reflection vector* of s .
- Let W be a group and S be a set of generators. A representation $\rho : W \rightarrow GL(V)$ is called a *reflection representation* of (W, S) if:
 $\rho(s)$ is a reflection on V for any $s \in S$.

The first generalization

From now on, we work over a field F of char 0, and fix a group W with a finite set $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ of generators.

Theorem (H. 2023)

Let (V, ρ) be an n -dim'l irreducible reflection representation of (W, S) . Then the W -modules

$$\bigwedge^d V, \quad d = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

are simple and pairwise non-isomorphic.

We require $\text{char } F = 0$ because we need the following:

Lemma (C. Chevalley, 1955)

Suppose W is a group and V, U are finite-dim'l semisimple W -modules over a field F of char 0. Then the W -module $V \otimes U$ is also semisimple.

Corollary

In our theorem, $\bigwedge^d V$ is semisimple since $\bigwedge^d V \hookrightarrow \bigotimes^d V$.

Instead of doing induction on n or m , our proof of simplicity is by showing

$$\text{End}_W(\bigwedge^d V) = F$$

by linear algebra and some combinatorics on directed graphs.

The second generalization

Exterior powers of different reflection representations are also different.

Theorem (H. 2023)

Let (V_1, ρ_1) and (V_2, ρ_2) be two irreducible reflection representations of (W, S) of dim n_1 and n_2 , resp. If

$$\bigwedge^{d_1} V_1 \simeq \bigwedge^{d_2} V_2$$

as W -modules for some d_1, d_2 with $0 < d_i < n_i$, then

$$d_1 = d_2 \text{ and } (V_1, \rho_1) \simeq (V_2, \rho_2).$$

Remarks:

- In most cases there is not a W -invariant inner product on V .
- The reflection vectors $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are not necessarily a basis of V .

But the two points are crucial in Steinberg's proof of his theorem.

A Poincaré-like duality

Proposition (Who? Hu?)

Let $\rho : W \rightarrow GL(V)$ be an n -dim'l representation of W .
Then

$$\Lambda^{n-d} V \simeq (\Lambda^d V)^* \otimes (\det \circ \rho)$$

as W -modules for all $d = 0, 1, \dots, n$, where $(-)^*$ denotes the dual repn.

Question:

Is there any geometric interpretation (or in other manners) of this duality?

Outline

- 1 Steinberg's theorem on reflection representations.
- 2 Our generalization.
- 3 Classification of reflection representations of Coxeter groups.**
- 4 Apply the generalized Steinberg's theorem to Coxeter groups.

Definition

Let S be a finite set.

Given $m_{st} \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2} \cup \{\infty\}$ for any $s, t \in S$ with $s \neq t$ such that $m_{st} = m_{ts}$.

The corresponding *Coxeter group* W is defined by a presentation

$$W = \langle s \in S \mid s^2 = e, \forall s \in S; \\ (st)^{m_{st}} = e, \forall s, t \in S \text{ with } m_{st} < \infty \rangle.$$

By convention, $m_{ss} := 1, \forall s \in S$.

- Historical interlude:

The concept of Coxeter groups originates from Euclidean reflection groups:

H. S. M. Coxeter proved in 1930's that any discrete reflection group in a Euclidean space admits such a presentation.

- Question:

Can we realize any Coxeter group as a reflection group on some space?

From now on, we fix a Coxeter group (W, S) , and work over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .

A refl. repr. of Coxeter groups (the geometric repr.)

Let $V_{geom} = \bigoplus_{s \in S} \mathbb{R}\alpha_s$ endowed with a bilinear form

$$(\alpha_s | \alpha_t) := -\cos \frac{\pi}{m_{st}}, \quad \forall s, t \in S.$$

(Bourbaki 1968) V_{geom} is a reflection repr. of W via

$$s(\alpha_t) := \alpha_t - 2(\alpha_t | \alpha_s)\alpha_s.$$

The bilinear form $(-|-)$ is W -invariant, i.e.,

$$(wv | wu) = (v | u).$$

- Remark:

Although we have a W -invariant bilinear form on V_{geom} , but it is not an inner product in general. It is an inner product if and only if W is a **finite** group.

- Question:

Can we find out and classify **all** the reflection representations of (W, S) ?

Recall that a reflection representation of a Coxeter group (W, S) is a representation $\rho : W \rightarrow GL(V)$ such that each $s \in S$ acts by a reflection.

For simplicity in presentation, we consider a specific class of reflection representations defined as follows.

Definition

If the reflection vectors $\{\alpha_s \mid s \in S\}$ form a basis for V , then we call (V, ρ) a *generalized geometric representation* of (W, S) .

The classification of all refl. repn's of Coxeter groups

Theorem (informally presented, H. 2021)

The isomorphism classes of generalized geometric representations of (W, S) is parameterized by

$$\left\{ \left((k_{st})_{s,t \in S, s \neq t}, \chi \right) \mid \begin{array}{l} k_{st} : \text{certain "numbers",} \\ \chi : H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times \text{ a character} \end{array} \right\},$$

where \tilde{G} is certain simple graph determined by the numbers $(k_{st})_{s,t \in S, s \neq t}$,

and $H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ is the first integral homology group of \tilde{G} .

The classification of all refl. repn's of Coxeter groups

In general, any reflection representation of (W, S) can be described explicitly as well.

(It is a quotient representation of a generalized geometric representation of certain quotient Coxeter group of W , quotiented by a subrepresentation with trivial group action.)

The results below can also be stated for reflection representations in general.

Proposition (informally presented, H. 2021)

Let (V, ρ) be a generalized geometric representation of (W, S) corresponding to a datum

$$((k_{st})_{s,t \in S, s \neq t}, \chi)$$

where the numbers $(k_{st})_{s,t \in S, s \neq t}$ are “generic”.

Then there exists a nonzero W -invariant bilinear form on V if and only if

$$\text{Im } \chi \subseteq \{\pm 1\}.$$

In other words, “most” reflection representation does not admit a nonzero W -invariant bilinear form.

Example: The affine Weyl group \tilde{A}_2

- Let W be the affine Weyl group \tilde{A}_2 ,

$$W = \langle s_0, s_1, s_2 \mid s_i^2 = (s_i s_j)^3 = e, \forall i, j. \rangle$$

k_{st} 's must be 1. The graph \tilde{G} : 

- The group $H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the cycle.
- Characters of $H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z}) = \{\chi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\} = \mathbb{C}^\times$.
- Gen. geom. repn's $\xleftrightarrow{1:1} \mathbb{C}^\times$, and only two of them admit nonzero W -invariant bilinear form, i.e., the two corresponding to ± 1 . (The standard geom. repn. $\leftrightarrow 1$)

Outline

- ① Steinberg's theorem on reflection representations.
- ② Our generalization.
- ③ Classification of reflection representations of Coxeter groups.
- ④ Apply the generalized Steinberg's theorem to Coxeter groups.

The homology group $H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ is a finitely generated free abelian group.

Thus the set of characters $\chi : H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is identified to a torus

$$(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r \text{ where } r = \text{rank } H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Under this identification, we have:

Proposition (informally presented, H. 2021)

Fix a set of “generic” numbers $(k_{st})_{s,t \in S, s \neq t}$ such that \tilde{G} is connected.

Then the characters of $H_1(\tilde{G}, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to **reducible** generalized geometric representations form a “density zero” subset of $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^r$.

In other words, “most” reflection representations are irreducible.

(In the \tilde{A}_2 example,

the generalized geometric representations $\xleftrightarrow{1:1} \mathbb{C}^\times$,
only the one corresponding to 1 is reducible.)

Therefore, we can apply our generalization of Steinberg's theorem to all the irreducible reflection representations of (W, S) .

Then we obtain much more irreducible representations of W which are pairwise non-isomorphic.

- Remark:

Some mild conditions on the reflection vectors $\{\alpha_s \mid s \in S\}$ yield that the reflection representations corresponds to Lusztig's \mathbf{a} -function value 1 (e.g., the generalized geometric representations.).

It would be interesting to consider the \mathbf{a} -function values of refl. repn's in general and their exterior powers.

Related papers

- H. Hu. On exterior powers of reflection representations. Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., online, 2023.
- H. Hu. On exterior powers of reflection representations, II. In preparation.
- H. Hu. Reflection representations of Coxeter groups and homology of Coxeter graphs. Algebr. Represent. Theory, accepted, arXiv:2306.12846, 2023.
- H. Hu. Representations of Coxeter groups of Lusztig's \mathbf{a} -function value 1. Preprint, arXiv:2309.00593, 2023.

Thank you for your attention!